# Intro to NLP 2022: Assignment 1

In this assignment, we work with a dataset that contains sentences from news articles. It has been collected for a shared task at SemEval 2018 for *Complex Word Identification*.

**Task Description:** <https://sites.google.com/view/cwisharedtask2018/>

**Code for the assignment:** ​​*intro2nlp\_assignment1\_code.zip*

You submit a **pdf** of this document, the format should not be changed.

All floating point numbers should be rounded to **two decimals**.

Your analyses should be conducted using **python 3.8**.   
You submit a **zip**-file containing all your code.

You are allowed to use Python packages (e.g. pandas, sklearn).

Each team member needs to be able to explain the details of the submission. By default, all team members will receive the same grade. If this seems unjust to you, provide an extra statement indicating the workload of each team member.

**Total points**: 20

**Structure:**

* Part A: Linguistic analysis of the dataset using spacy, 6 points
* Part B: Understanding the task of complex word identification, 7 points
* Part C: Modeling the task with an LSTM, 7 points
* Bonus tasks: options for obtaining a grade >8

Fill in your details below:

**Group number: 40**

**Student 1**

**Name: Andreea Hazu**

**Student id: 2645225**

**Student 2**

**Name: Giulia Bössenecker**

**Student id: 2624238**

**Student 3**

**Name: Maike Nützel**

**Student id:**

## PART A: Linguistic analysis using spaCy

In the first part of the assignment, we focus on an analysis of the sentences in the training data.

**File:** *data/preprocessed/train/sentences.txt*

Implement your analyses in *TODO\_analyses.py.*

Note that we are using the most recent spaCy version (3.2) and the model *en\_core\_web\_sm*. Results might vary for other versions. If you cannot use 3.2, clearly explain this to your TA and specify on your submission which version you are using instead.

1. **Tokenization** (1 point)  
   Process the dataset using the spaCy package and extract the following information:

Number of tokens: 16130

Number of types: 3746

Number of words: 13895

Average number of words per sentence: 19.35

Average word length: 4.72

Provide the definition that you used to determine words: tokens after removing punctuations.

1. **Word Classes** (1.5 points)

Run the default part-of-speech tagger on the dataset and identify the ten most frequent POS tags. Complete the table below for these ten tags (the tagger in the model *en\_core\_web\_sm* is trained on the PENN Treebank tagset).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Finegrained POS-tag | Universal POS-Tag | Occurrences | Relative Tag Frequency (%) | 3 most frequent tokens with this tag | Example for an infrequent token with this tag |
| NN | Noun | 2074 | 0.13 | \, year, report | Project |
| NNP | PROPN | 2063 | 0.13 | [\,US](file://,US), President | Navy |
| IN | ADP | 1745 | 0.11 | of,in,to | By |
| DT | DET | 1378 | 0.09 | the,a,the | Each |
| JJ | ADJ | 868 | 0.05 | other,Russian,presidential | Sebastian |
| NNS | NOUN | 774 | 0.05 | ants,troops,people | areas |
| , | PUNCT | 699 | 0.04 | , ; … | … |
| VBD | VERB | 660 | 0.04 | was,were,said | suggested |
| . | PUNCT | 655 | 0.04 | . ? ! | ! |
| \_SP | SPACE | 653 | 0.04 | \n | \n |

1. **N-Grams** (1.5 points)  
   Calculate the distribution of n-grams and provide the 3 most frequent

Token bigrams:

Token trigrams:

POS bigrams:

POS trigrams:

1. **Lemmatization** (1 point)

Provide an example for a lemma that occurs in more than two inflections in the dataset.

Lemma: be

Inflected Forms: ‘are’, ‘were’, ‘was’

Example sentences for each form:

‘Children are thought to be aged three, eight, and ten years , alongside an eighteen-month-old baby’

‘Police said three children were hospitalised for "severe dehydration"’

‘That decision was the one challenged unsuccessfully in the High Court’

1. **Named Entity Recognition** (1 point)

Number of named entities: 888  
Number of different entity labels: 17

Analyze the named entities in the first five sentences. Are they identified correctly? If not, explain your answer and propose a better decision.

## PART B: Understanding the task of complex word identification

1. **Explore the dataset** (1.5 points)  
   Read the documentation (<https://sites.google.com/view/cwisharedtask2018/datasets>) of the dataset and provide an answer to the following questions:

a) What do the start and offset values refer to? Provide an example.

The start and offset values refer to the start and end index of the target word within the sentence. To provide an example, in the training data:

* Looking at the first row, 31 is the start index in the sentence where the target word “flexed their muscles” is. 51 is the index where the target word ends (or start index + the length of the start index)
* The logic is the same when looking at the second row, 31 is the start index of “flexed” in the sentence, 37 is the index where the target word ends.

b) What does it mean if a target word has a probabilistic label of 0.4?

It means that 40% of the total number of annotators who saw the sentence marked the word as difficult. In the example displayed on second row, 8 annotators out of 20 marked the word “flexed” as difficult.

c) The dataset was annotated by native and non-native speakers. How do the binary and the probabilistic complexity label account for this distinction?

The binary and the probabilistic complexity label do not account for any distinction between annotations made by native or non-native speakers.

1. **Extract basic statistics** (0.5 point)

Let’s have a closer look at the labels for this task.   
 Use the file *data/original/english/WikiNews\_Train.tsv* and extract the following columns:

Target word, binary label, probabilistic label

Provide the following information:

Number of instances labeled with 0: 4530

Number of instances labeled with 1: 3216

Min, max, median, mean, and stdev of the probabilistic label: 0.00, 1.00, 0.00, 0.08, 0.17

Number of instances consisting of more than one token: 1086

Maximum number of tokens for an instance: 10

1. **Explore linguistic characteristics** (2 points)  
   For simplicity, we will focus on the instances which consist only of a single token and have been labeled as complex by at least one annotator.   
   Calculate the length of the tokens as the number of characters.

Calculate the frequency of the tokens using the wordfreq package (<https://pypi.org/project/wordfreq/>).

Provide the Pearson correlation of length and frequency with the probabilistic complexity label:

Pearson correlation length and complexity: 0.27

Pearson correlation frequency and complexity: -0.32

Provide 3 scatter plots with the probabilistic complexity on the y-axis.

X-axis: 1) Length 2) Frequency 3) POS tag

Set the ranges of the x and y axes meaningfully.

Plot 1:



Plot 2:



Plot 3:



Interpret the results in 3-5 sentences:

There is no statistically significant correlation between length of token and probabilistic complexity, though we can see that the tokens with lengths higher than 7 characters have a high probabilistic complexity, Again, no statistically significant correlation between frequency of token and probabilistic complexity, though there is a clear relationship between the two, high frequency tokens having low probabilistic complexity.

**TO-DO**

The scatterplot for probabilistic complexity by POS tags is not very informative, except that subordinating conjunctions (SCONJ) as well as adpositions (ADP) have low probabilistic complexities; Interjections (INTJ) cluster for low to medium probabilistic complexities, while other present POS tags vary across the probabilistic complexity board.

1. **Reflection (1 Point)**

Can you think of another linguistic characteristic that might have an influence on the perceived complexity of a word? Propose at least one and explain your choice in 2-4 sentences.

The number of spoken syllables in a word is an intuitive characteristic that should correlate positively with the probabilistic complexity of a word. The more syllables, the more difficult a word is to pronounce/articulate and to remember/learn. Thus the complexity correlation. The consonant-to-vowel ratio based on the idea that consonants are considered more complex than vowels( [Shankweiler and Harris (1966)](https://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=An+experimental+approach+to+the+problem+of+articulation+in+aphasia%2E&journal=Cortex&author=Shankweiler+D.&author=Harris+K.+S.&publication_year=1966&volume=2&pages=277%E2%80%93292)), being harder to master from the early stages of learning.

1. **Baselines** (2 Points)

Implement four baselines for the task in *TODO\_baselines.py*.

Majority baseline: always assigns the majority class

Random baseline: randomly assigns one of the classes

Length baseline: determines the class based on a length threshold

Frequency baseline: determines the class based on a frequency threshold

Test different thresholds and choose the one which yields the highest accuracy on the dev\_data:

Length threshold: 8

Frequency threshold: 0.055

Fill in the table below (round to two decimals!):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Baseline | Accuracy on dev | Accuracy on test |
| Majority | 0.85 | 0.80 |
| Random | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Length | 0.88 | 0.85 |
| Frequency | 0.85 | 0.80 |

Interpret the results in 2-3 sentences.

**TO-DO**

Store the predictions in a way that allows you to calculate precision, recall, and F-measure and fill the table in exercise 12.

## PART C: Modeling the task

For part C, we use an implementation for a vanilla LSTM which was originally developed for a named entity recognition project for a Stanford course. You can find more documentation here: <https://github.com/cs230-stanford/cs230-code-examples/tree/master/pytorch/nlp>

1. **Understanding the code** (1.5 Points)  
   **Familiarize yourself with our version of the code and try to understand what is going on.**

**Answer in your own words (1-3 sentences per question)**

**Run the file *build\_vocab.py*. What does this script do?**

The script parses the sentences and corresponding labels from directories train, val and test and based on these 3 separate files creates:

* words.txt that will contain a vocabulary of unique words found in the 3 parsed sentences datasets
* tags.txt that will contain a vocabulary of unique tags or classes found in the 3 parsed labels datasets
* dataset\_params.json will contain the 3 datasets properties in a JSON format

The possibility to keep in the vocabularies just the most frequent words or tokens exists, but given the default arguments of 1, all are kept. PAD and UNK words are appended to the word’s vocabulary.

**Inspect the file *model/net.py.* Which layers are being used and what is their function?**

The following layers are being used:

* Embedding layer*:* maps every index in range (params.vocab\_size) to a params.embedding\_dim vector.
* LSTM: will return an output for each token in a sentence when applied on the sequential input.
* Fully connected layer: helps convert the LSTM output for each token to a distribution over NER tags 🡪 @giulia still need to adjust this

**How could you change the loss function of the model?**

1. **Detailed evaluation** (2.5 points)

Train the model on the data in *preprocessed/train* and *preprocessed/dev* by running the code in *train.py*.

Evaluate the model on the data in *preprocessed/test* by running *evaluate.py*.

The original code only outputs the accuracy and the loss of the model. I adapted the code, so that it writes the predictions to *experiments/base\_model/model\_output.tsv.*

Implement calculations for precision, recall, and F1 for each class in *TODO\_detailed\_evaluation.py*. You can use existing functions but make sure that you understand how they work.

Provide the results for the baselines and the LSTM in the table below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Class N | | | Class C | | | Weighted Average |
|  | Precision | Recall | F1 | Precision | Recall | F1 | F1 |
| Random | 0.79 | 0.46 | 0.58 | 0.20 | 0.52 | 0.28 | 0.52 |
| Majority | 0.80 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.71 |
| Length | 0.85 | 0.99 | 0.91 | 0.84 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.82 |
| Frequency | 0.80 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.71 |
| LSTM | 0.88 | 0.94 | 0.46 | 0.68 | 0.49 | 0.28 | 0.84 |

1. **Interpretation** (1.5 Points)

Compare the performance to the results in the shared task (<https://aclanthology.org/W18-0507.pdf>) and interpret the results in 3-5 sentences. Don’t forget to check the number of instances in the training and test data and integrate this into your reflection.

1. **Experiments** (2 points)  
   Vary a hyperparameter of your choice and plot the F1-results (weighted average) for at least 5 different values. Examples for hyperparameters are embedding size, learning rate, number of epochs, random seed,

Hyperparameter:

Plot:

Interpret the result (2-4 sentences):

Provide 3 examples for which the label changes when the hyperparameter changes:

1. Example 1, Label at Value 1, Label at Value 2
2. Example 2, Label at Value 1, Label at Value 2
3. Example 3, Label at Value 1, Label at Value 2

## Bonus Tasks

The maximum grade you can get for the assignment is an 8. If you want to obtain a better grade, you need to individually send results for one of the bonus tasks to intro2nlp@googlegroups.com. If the group project grade is less than an 8, we do not check the bonus task submission. If the group project grade is an 8 and you submitted an answer for a bonus task, you might still only receive an 8, if the quality of the bonus task submission is not sufficient.

Task options:

* Provide answers for exercises 8 and 12-14 for at least one of the other languages of the CWI task.
* Improve the model by making a substantial change. Varying a hyperparameter or simply adding another layer **is not** a substantial change. Motivate your modification and interpret the findings.
* Identifying complex words is only the first step for lexical simplification. Read up on related work and explain potential architectures for contextualized lexical simplification in detail.